

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/994,332	JUNG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Prenell P. Jones	2667	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** First Action

 (1) Prenell P. Jones.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Applicants Representative, Daniel Nguyen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 July 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-30


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner informed Mr. Nguyen that a restriction is associated with the present application. However, Mr. Nguyen declined to elect via telephone. So, Examiner indicated to Mr. Nguyen that an election/restriction action would be sent out.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)